Planning Report: 6 September 2020

Overall very little change

1.0 Thomas Peacocke. site: Plutus Developments RR/2017/1778/P

No progress on detail application.

2.0 Bridge Point Studios. Martello Developments RR/2019/789/P

Consent granted

3.00 Rye College. New all weather illuminated pitch RR/2019/785/P

No change

Letter of objection submitted.

Sports England do not object subject to conditions

Rother Environmental Health do not object on grounds of noise or light pollution but recommend a reduction in the hours to 8pm M-F, 6pm Sat and none on Sunday and BH.

4.00 Old Nat West Bank RR/2019/2577/L

Covers change of use. Undecided

5.00 48 Ferry Road Approval RR/2018/1828/P

Contact has been established with the developer, who have appointed new architects and engineers. Letter sent offering support to a revised scheme which would meet our stated objectives as set out in our responses to Rother. In addition we would support the omission of the two shop units if it resulted in the retention of the historic cast iron structure and a better vehicular access.

6.00 Land between Mill Lane and railway. SW Ferry Road RR/2019/840/P

RCS has objected to the revised plans.

EA have now written and formally objected to the use of the site for housing...

NR and ESCC Highways have withdrawn their objections based on the revised plans with a wider road and pavement.

7.00 Kettle of Fish RR/2019/2756/P

Approved

8.00 George Hotel RR/571/P

Two applications. Like for like reinstatement and an up grade scheme with internal lift, increase disabled access, 4 additional bedrooms and use of current shop as extension tor estaurant with 18 more covers.

RCS to support both applications

9.00 Globe Inn, Military Road RR/2020/323/P

Extension to public bar and restaurant areas, new toilets and garage.

RCS object as application does not give areas of additional accommodation which will allow calculation of additional parking provision that would normally be required.

10.00 Sandrock Marine, Rock Channel RR/2020/334/P

2 sites on Rock Channel, currently boat yards and club. New restaurant, moorings, Bi office and workshops.

Revised drawings show change to roof shapes and reduction of restaurant to 70 covers with loss of 2 parking spaces.

ESCC Highways have no objections as have Highways England

I find the rational of ESCC questionable with little understanding of the actual circumstances and location. See ESCC Highways comments below.

As with a large number of food establishments in the town centre that rely on public car parks, I would be of the view that the site is close enough to be a reasonable walking distance to the town and its car parks.

In looking at the parking standards, the parking standards for A3 use (café/restaurants) states 1 space per 5sqm of public area which is excessive and is seldom used for town centre locations. The level of parking provided is 16 spaces for 167sqm or 1 per 10sqm some of which is not public space. I am content that this level of parking for this location is acceptable.

The B1 office use requires 1 space per 30sqm and so for 208sqm, 6 spaces are needed. For B1c light industry, 1 space per 40 sqm floorspace is required and so for 238sqm,6 spaces are needed. A total of 28 spaces would be ordinarily required and as 27 spaces is stated in the application form, I am not objecting to the level of parking. Accessible spaces have not been provided and

there should be at least 1 space for the B1 offices and 1 space for the A3 use. Cycle spaces are provided for 15 bikes and this is an acceptable level of cycle parking.

As parking levels are acceptable and should not conflict with the access road, the public right of way should remain unobstructed.

Comments on revised design submitted maintaining our concern as to access and parking.

11.00 Land adjacent Globe Military RR/2020/493/P

Resubmission of revised scheme following refusal. No objection subject to neighbours but would prefer simpler workshop approach rather than domestic. ESCC Highways did not object to previous scheme on grounds of access or loss of parking.

12.00 Globe Inn Military Road RR/2020/643/P2 Second application for similar scheme to 323/P but with first floor workshop /studio over proposed garage. We have objected on grounds of over development and apparent lack of adequate parking.

14.00 64 Kings Avenue RR/2020/66/P

Response received from Rother setting out that they accept the concerns as to flooding and ground floor habitable use. I get the feeling that this was an oversight by a junior officer. If East Bank flood protection works are undertaken, this problem will be ameliorated.